

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,396	02/05/2002	Richard St.Clair Bailey	MS1-1006US	4779	
22801 75	590 07/25/2006	EXAMINER			
LEE & HAYI		ROSWELL, MICHAEL			
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPORANE, W	VA 99201		2173		
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/072,39	96	BAILEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael R		2173				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on the	cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evi nunication. actions are the cause the ann	IIS COMMUNI ent, however, may a III expire SIX (6) MOI lication to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 May 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> Qu	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 34,37 and 38 is/are pending							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		nsideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
, —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34,37 and 38</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requi	red if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner. N	lote the attach	ed Office Action or form I	210-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority 	y documents have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have be	en received in	Application No	-1 04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority docum	nents have bee	en received in this Nation	ai Stage			
	application from the Internati			et repolited				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachme	nt(s)		1_1					
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(070.040)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) X Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 oper No(s)/Mail Date 09052006.	(P10-948) or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice (6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (F	PTO-152)			
, 4,								

Application/Control Number: 10/072,396

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 34, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Major et al (US Patent 6,993,508), hereinafter Major, and Wason et al (US Patent 6,701,383), hereinafter Wason.

Major teaches receiving selections from an OEM GUI software to determine the configuration and appearance of a displayed list (taught as the use of a web browser for displaying information to a user, at col. 6, lines 30-34. Many different web browsers are well known in the art, such as Microsoft Internet Explorer, Netscape Navigator, and Mozilla Firefox. It is inherent that each of these browsers include OEM GUI software for displaying data to a user in the distinct style of the browser), accessing a generic data source that contains one or more of the items (taught as the use of a Download Manager and Play List Manager, which may be either a plug-in or JavaScript program and therefore capable of being added to the OEM software, to request web pages detailing available downloads, at col. 6, lines 42-46), populating the displayed list with the items from the generic data source according to one or more scrolling events (taught as the interaction of the Play List Manager with the web browser to facilitate user selection of an item from the playlist. Playlists are well known in the art, and commonly include a number of selections longer than the available display space for the playlist, which results in the use of scrolling events to display the further available selections, as is commonly found in media players such as Windows Media Player and WinAmp, as well as many HTML objects

such as selection boxes), wherein the OEM GUI software is configured independently of the one or more media (taught through the use of an OEM GUI, as the OEM GUI software is inherently configured prior to interacting with any supplemental data or media).

However, Major fails to explicitly teach the instructions being executable on different computing platforms and in different applications to provide the displayed list.

Wason teaches the use of plug-ins with different media players and web browsers, similar to that of Major. Furthermore, Wason teaches an abstraction layer for providing a uniform interface between a framework and one or more plug-ins, which allows for instructions being executable on different computing platforms and in different applications to provide a displayed list. See Wason, col. 2, lines 26-27 and 51-62.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Major and Wason before him at the time the invention was made to modify the Digital Content browser of Major to include the platform independent plug-in capabilities of Wason.

One would have been motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of building a single, platform independent version of a plug-in or other such software, capable of extending similar functionality to a number of different frameworks and media players. See Wason, col. 2, lines 1-24.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9 May 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Major fails to teach "receiving selections from the OEM GUI software to determine the configuration and the appearance of the displayed list",

Application/Control Number: 10/072,396 Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

the examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated above, Major teaches the use of a web browser for displaying related list information. At the effective filing date of the Major patent, Microsoft Internet Explorer versions 5 and 5.5, Netscape Navigator version 4.0 (also known as Netscape Communicator) and Opera version 5 were available for public use. These browsers are certainly a step up from the "traditional browser" as argued by applicant; for example, Internet Explorer version 5.5 is well known to support more than simple HTML page parsing and display, as it supports CSS, XML, ActiveX controls, Java applets and XSL. Furthermore, the aforementioned browsers are well known to allow common user customizations such as text color, background color, and font size, made accessible in a tools or options menu. All of these user customizations are selections from an OEM GUI software that help determine the configuration and appearance of displayed content.

The examiner further asserts that the displayed states argued by applicant at pages 5 and 6 of the arguments are part of the content taken from the data source to be displayed by the browser, and as such have little to do with the configuration and appearance of the list.

As to applicant's argument that the examiner has failed to supply ample motivation for the combination of Major and Wason, the examiner refers to the final paragraph of the rejection of claims 34, 37, and 38, which states:

One would have been motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of building a single, platform independent version of a plug-in or other such software, capable of extending similar functionality to a number of different frameworks and media players. See Wason, col. 2, lines 1-24.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/072,396

Art Unit: 2173

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Roswell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4055. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2173

Michael Roswell 7/19/2006

TADESSE HAILO
Patent Examiner